Assessment of population distribution for different Working class in slum of Gurgaon: A comparative study with India and Haryana
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ABSTRACT In India, like any other countries, the slums are expanding in physical dimension and their situations are not improving owing to several factors. Therefore, slum studies at local scale is one of the important aspects of slums, which in the case of slums of Gurgaon are very crucial because of global cyber city importance, highest in revenue collection and location nearby national capital of the country. Study on MCG revealed by comparative indicators of worker class of slum population Gurgaon slum. The result shows that in comparison to India, Haryana and Gurgaon census data of slum population worker percentage of total worker is higher in studied slum with very high value of marginal worker than India, Haryana nad Gurgaon and lesser Main worker than India, Haryana and Gurgaon data. The female worker as main and marginal worker is very less in present study as well as Census data of India, Haryana and Gurgaon. Thus, present paper investigated classification of worker and non-worker and their comparative analysis with India, Haryana and Gurgaon census data of slum.
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Introduction: The word 'slum' has a slangy connotation in British society from where this word is thought to be originated. In Eastern End of London, this word means 'room,' and it evolved over time to mean 'back slum' giving the sense of 'back allay, street of poor people' (Etymological Dictionary). The slum is variously named, often interchangeable used, in different parts of the globe viz. shanty town, favela, rookery, gecekondu, skid row, barrio, ghetto, bidonville, taudis, bandas de miseria, barrio marginal, morro, loteamento, barraca, musseque, tugurio, solares, mudun safi, karyan, medina achouaia, brarek, ishash, galoo, tanake, baladi, trushebi, chalis, katas, zopadpattis, bustee, estero, looban, dagatan, umjondolo, watta, udukku, and chereka bete (UN-Habitat, 2003). Below are some of the definitions of slums given by some eminent social scientists working in the field of slums:

"For the purpose of Census of India, 2011, the slum areas broadly constitute of: (i) All specified areas in a town or city notified as 'Slum' by State/Local Government and Union Territories (UT) Administration under any Act including a 'Slum Act'; (ii) All areas recognized as 'Slum' by State/Local Government and UT Administration, Housing and Slum Boards, which may have not been formally notified as slum under any act; and (iii) A compact area of at least 300 population or about 60-70 households of poor built congested tenements, in unhygienic environment usually with inadequate infrastructure and lacking in proper sanitary and drinking water facilities."

Slums are still the found all over the globe questioning our stage of global development and wellbeing. A study by UN Habitat in 2015 reports that about one fourth of the total world population still lives in areas designated as slums or in areas which don't have proper access to safe potable water, sanitation and durable housing as per accepted standards set by the UN. Concentration of slums is found more in the countries located in global south (the phrase 'global south' refers to the developing and underdeveloped countries, mostly located in southern hemisphere) when compared to slum percentage at global scale. Slum inhabitants in different states of India is no constant rise owing to different social, economic, and political reason. The rise of slums in and around metro politan cities is noticiable as evidenced from several studies (Dhesi et al., 2018; GSMA, 2017; Günther and Ghosh, 2018; Harshwardhan, 2015). Mumbai has the worst kind of slum problem in our country (Harshwardhan, 2015; Hodes et al., 2018; Nijman, 2008). We even face uncertainty and ill definition of slums (Edelman and Mitra, 2006; Nolan, 2015; O'Hare et al., 1998). The table (1) lists the percentage of slum population at two different levels-1) percentage share of slums in all India aggregate; and 2) percentage of notified slums to total slums in the country.

Gurugram is facing one of the worst problems due to massive migration of rural population moving to city peripherals, illegal encroachment by immigrants occupying several locations, and living in disdainful conditions. So, the presentwork focused on slum workers worker's comparative study between India, Haryana and Gurgaon.
Study Area: Out of seventy identified slum of Gurgaon according to Census of India, 2011; six sample has been taken for the study purpose depending on their population and basic amenities available. Most populated with basic amenities like Devilal Colony and least populated with least basic amenities have been selected depending on data of census of India, 2011; and four samples randomly selected based on between most populated with basic amenities to least populated with least basic amenities. The figure 1, shows the location of slums in the city as the data provided by government agencies. Table 1, gives general description of slum selected for the study.

![Figure 1. Location of the slums in Gurgaon.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>Total No. of Household*</th>
<th>Total Population*</th>
<th>No. of Household surveyed**</th>
<th>Survey Pop.**</th>
<th>Male**</th>
<th>Female**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Devilal Colony</td>
<td>1770</td>
<td>7967</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhawani Enclave</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>2563</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravi Nagar</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambedkar Colony</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>1128</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sihi</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhugibasti, Nathupur</td>
<td>903</td>
<td>4266</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Census, 2011 **Present Survey

Result and Discussion:
Slum workers of India and Haryana and Gurgaon; according to census of India 2011 have been presented in Table 1. Total slum population has been divided into total worker and Non-Worker and further total worker has been classified as Main–worker and Marginal worker.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Worktype</th>
<th>Slum Population</th>
<th>Main Worker</th>
<th>Marginal Worker</th>
<th>Non-Worker</th>
<th>Total Worker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex / Area</td>
<td>Male Female</td>
<td>Male Female</td>
<td>Male Female</td>
<td>Total Male</td>
<td>Male Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>51.86 48.14</td>
<td>80.03 19.95</td>
<td>86.97 13.03</td>
<td>60.53 39.47</td>
<td>13.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haryana</td>
<td>53.42 46.58</td>
<td>86.79 13.21</td>
<td>84.58 15.42</td>
<td>70.25 29.75</td>
<td>15.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gurgaon</td>
<td>53.63 46.37</td>
<td>87.55 12.45</td>
<td>71.14 28.86</td>
<td>94 6</td>
<td>1343 3168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Census, 2011 **Value in %.
According census of India workers who had worked for the major part of the reference period (i.e. 6 months or more) are termed as Main Workers. Those workers who had not worked for the major part of the reference period (i.e. less than 6 months) are termed as Marginal Workers. Out of all slum population India only 36.4% is working category rest 63.6% is in non-working category; for Haryana and Gurgaon percentage of working population slum is lesser comparative to India with around 31%. Non worker population covering any person not involved in any type of economic gains is much higher than working population with 63.6% in India and it is higher in Haryana Gurgaon, with value around 68%. Out of all total worker Main worker constitute most part with 80.5% in India which is less in Haryana with 84.85% and higher than India and Haryana in Gurgaon with 94% of total worker as main worker. Those workers who had not worked for the major part of the reference period (i.e. less than 6 months) is much less in Gurgaon with 6% than India and Haryana with 13.53 and 15.15% respectively. Suggesting, most of the total worker population in slum of Gurgaon has work for the major part of the reference period (i.e. 6 months or more).

In the present survey done in different slums of Gurgaon, as shown in Figure – 2 and 3, percentage distribution of workers in slum shows that in all the six slums the percentage of total worker is higher than Gurgaon as well as Haryana and similar to India with nearly 36% working population in all slums. Total female worker in almost all studies slum had higher percentage than Haryana and Gurgaon as well as India except Ambedkar Colony where it is higher than Haryana and Gurgaon but lesser than India. Main worker in Gurgaon slum in the present studied found lesser than in India, Haryana and Gurgaon with least main worker in Sih slums with 64.52% and most in Devi Dal Colony slum.
Although, female worker contribution as main worker in studied slum were found much higher than India, Haryana and Gurgaon census data with most female main worker in Sihi and Ravi Nagar having 25% of female main worker in both; Whereas opposite scenario were found for Male main worker which is lesser in studied slums of Gurgaon than India, Haryana and Gurgaon having most male main worker in Devilal Colony. Non-Worker percentage in studied slum were mostly similar to India census data but lesser than Haryana and Gurgaon. Marginal worker in all slums were higher than census data of India, Haryana and Gurgaon with highest value of 33.33% in Sihi and least value of 18.44% in Devilal Colony.

Conclusion: From the present survey it is evident that total number of worker percentage in slums of Gurgaon is almost higher than India, Haryana and Gurgaon census data in all studied slum with least value in Ravi Nagar slums. Percentage of Non-worker is less in studied slum than Haryana and Gurgaon and similar to Indian census data. Female non-worker is less than India and Gurgaon data in almost all slum and higher or similar to Haryana data in almost all samples. Reverse is true for male non workers of studied slum. Marginal worker were found higher in Gurgaon present study than India, Haryana and Gurgaon data stating higher fraction of people fad no work for the major part of the year (i.e. less than 6 months) whereas main worker is much less in studies site than India, Haryana and Gurgaon census data suggesting less number of people working for major part of the year.
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