Heavy metals and basic dyes sequestration by utilizing emerging cellulosic low cost agricultural waste as a potential bio-adsorbent.
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**ABSTRACT:** The problem of water pollution is alarming due to various anthropogenic activities. Heavy metals are being released in the water bodies causing heavy metal pollution and also accumulate in the food chain and ultimately affecting human health. Various activities like manufacturing of fertilizers, industrialization, mining, electroplating, smelters etc are responsible for the release of heavy metals in the water bodies. Various heavy metals include Pb, Cd, Cu, Zn, Ni, Cr, and metalloids like arsenic in drinking water causes harmful effects on human health like allergies, hyper pigmentation, skin cancer, neurological disorders, hypertension, cardiovascular diseases, etc. Therefore, there is a need to develop and focus on methods to remove heavy metals from the waste water using techniques which are environment friendly, cost-effective and easily available in nature. Bioadsorbents have come up as one of the promising substitutes for heavy metal removal because it is readily available in nature and most importantly the waste residues from agricultural activities is utilized in the manufacturing of bioadsorbent for heavy metal ion removal. Various agricultural residues used to remove metal ions are rice husk, sawdust, peanut husk, groundnut husk, wheat bran, sugarcane bagasse, pine needle, salseed husk, coconut coir, cotton stalks etc. The main objective of this paper is to study about bio-adsorbents derived from agricultural wastes and their applications to remove metal ions from waste water.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

The availability and quality of drinking water has a huge impact on people’s life especially in the rural and remote areas which is essential for a healthy living (Gupta et al., 2006). Water resources all over the world have been severely affected by human activities leading to water quality deterioration and also shortage in potable supply of water (Tripathi et al., 2015). Water pollution especially due to heavy metals and minerals in the waste water is becoming a severe problem in India (Rashmi et al., 2013). Major contributing industries which release heavy metals are mining, metal processing, tanneries, pharmaceuticals, pesticides, organic chemicals, rubber and plastics, lumber and wood products (Malil et al., 2004). From the industrial sites these heavy metals contaminate the downstream water bodies through run off. Therefore it is essential to remove them from waste water before they are discharged in a water body to prevent various health hazards as they are toxic and carcinogenic in nature (Srivastava et al., 2006).

Heavy metals are non-biodegradable in nature and their constant presence in the environment leads to its accumulation in the food chain ultimately posing a threat to human health (Iheanacho et al., 2017). (Babel et al, 2004). This gives us a global challenge to develop methods which are most suitable for the treatment of metal-contaminated wastewater. Most common techniques used to remove heavy metals include precipitation, ion-exchange, adsorption, filtration, reverse osmosis etc. (Rao et al., 2000). But these techniques are quite expensive and sophisticated in terms of their operation for a developing economy like India. This has led to an increased interest in developing novel methods that are cheap and efficient for heavy metal removal from waste waters (Abbas et al., 2016). In this regard, agricultural wastes are one of the most promising materials which can be used as a potential bio-adsorbent for heavy metal removal from waste water as it is abundantly present in nature (Elhafez et al., 2017). These wastes can be used to produce Bioadsorbents and also bio-hydrogels to remove heavy metal ions from waste water.

1.1 Heavy Metal Pollution: A Global Risk for Human Health

The heavy metal can be defined as “any metallic chemical element that has a relatively high density and is toxic or poisonous at low concentrations” (Pandey et al., 2014). Such elements possess higher atomic weights ranging from 63.5 and 200.6, and a specific gravity larger than 5.0 g/cm$^3$ (Srivastava et al., 2008). Mercury (Hg), lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), chromium (Cr [VI]), Zinc (Zn), Arsenic (As), Nickel (Ni) etc, are known to be...
toxic heavy metals from ecotoxicological point of view (Ake et al. 2001; Babel et al., 2003; Farrag et al. 2009; Gupta et al. 2009 a, b ; Kapoor et al. 1999; Lo et al. 1999; Tunali et al. 2006).

Heavy metals are natural components of the Earth’s crust. They are non-biodegradable and are able to reach our body system through drinking water, air and intake of food. Although as trace elements some heavy metals are required to maintain the human body metabolism. But, poisoning naturally occurs at higher concentrations of such heavy metals (Wu et al.,2016). Heavy metals are dangerous and toxic in nature because they tend to bioaccumulate in living cells. Bioaccumulation refers to an increase in the concentration of a specific chemical or a heavy metal which is toxic and non-degradable in nature. Due to which it tends to accumulate in the biological cells over time. Such compounds accumulate in living cells and are stored faster than they are broken down or excreted out of the biological system. (Ganeshamurthy et al.,2016).

Heavy metals in industrial wastewater contain lead, chromium, mercury, uranium, selenium, zinc, arsenic, cadmium, silver, gold, and nickel. Most of the heavy metals are dangerous to health and to the environment (Lakherwal et al.,2014). Soil acts a sink for heavy metal ions through which they enter the food chain via water, plants or by reaching the ground water through leaching (Bolan et al.,2014). Major health implications of heavy metals are due to exposure to lead, cadmium, mercury and arsenic as per the studies by WHO. Major sources of heavy metal in the environment are geogenic, industrial, agriculture, pharmaceutical, domestic effluents, and other atmospheric sources (Govil et al.,2018). This kind of pollution is very critical in areas where activities like mining, foundries and smelting, electroplating, petroleum refining is done.

1.2 Dyes and its negative impacts

Due to advanced industrial developments in the last decade, the environmental society is affected on a large scale. Various industries like textile industry uses specific dyes for coloring the products to be manufactured which in return release waste waters contaminated with organic content and a strong color. This effluent is discharged in the water bodies and hence affects the lives of the people who might use this contaminated waste for potable or domestic purposes. It also affects the lives of aquatic animals and plants as in the presence of dyes the transmission of sunlight in the water bodies is significantly reduced. (Pereira et al.,2012)

Moreover, dyes cause toxicity to aquatic life and may prove out to be carcinogenic, mutagenic and cause various dysfunctions in kidneys, reproductive system, brain, liver and central nervous system (Oliveira et al., 2016). Therefore, it is of high importance to keep a check on the water quality keeping in view the fact that even 1.0 mg/l concentration of dye is capable of imparting color to the water bodies making it not suitable for any type of human consumption. (Mondal et al., 2018). Removing dyes from waste waters is crucially important as even a slight amount of dyes is highly visible and toxic for the aquatic ecosystem. This is indeed an environmental challenge and government requires wastewaters from textiles to be treated, hence there is a need to build an effective treatment process which is capable of removing dyes from waste water moreover in a cost-effective manner (Roy et al.,2018).

In this regard, agricultural biomass waste is a potential alternative which is now-a-days used to remove heavy metal ions and dyes from waste waters efficiently in an economical way.

**Table 1. Types of heavy metals and their effects on human health with their permissible limits.** (As per BIS Standard, I. 1991)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>Sources</th>
<th>Effect on Human Health</th>
<th>Permissible limit (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lead</td>
<td>Paint, pesticides, automobile emission, burning and mining of coal</td>
<td>Liver and kidney damage, gastrointestinal problems, mental illness in children</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury</td>
<td>Pesticides, batteries, paper and pulp industry</td>
<td>Nervous system is affected badly</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cadmium</td>
<td>Electroplating, pesticides, fertilizer, Cadmium, Nickel, batteries, nuclear plants.</td>
<td>Kidney damage, bronchitis, gastrointestinal disorder, cancer of bone</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsenic</td>
<td>Pesticides, fungicides</td>
<td>Bronchitis</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metal smelters</td>
<td>dermatitis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc</td>
<td>Refineries, brass manufacture, metal Plating. Skin problem arises due to zinc fumes; Nervous system is also affected badly. 5.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>Welding, fuel addition Central nervous system is badly affected by inhaling Manganese. 2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are millions of people who are suffering with disorders from heavy metal poisoning and therefore it has become a worldwide menace. Clean drinking water is the second most important requirement after oxygen. Hence, there is a critical need to develop efficient, low-cost methods for heavy metal removal from water bodies to ensure improved water quality for population. (Sankhla et al., 2016)

**1.2 Contemporary Techniques for heavy metal removal: Merits and De-merits**

Since heavy metal pollution is becoming a serious issue it is essential to find passive and efficient matrix to remove heavy metals from potable and waste water, in this regard a low cost bio-adsorbent is well suited as it provides a better surface for adsorption. Production of cheaper adsorbents to replace costly wastewater treatments is the need of the hour. (Lim et al., 2014). Most common methods for heavy metal removal includes methods chemical precipitation, microfiltration, ion-exchange, adsorption, coagulation, flocculation, electrochemical treatment, membrane-separation, reverse osmosis, electro-dialysis. (Reddy et al., 2018). Activated carbon, silver beds, charcoal, sand is highly used in portable filters for filtration and disinfection of water. (Baruah et al., 2015)

Figure 1. Ordinary techniques for heavy metal removal.
1.2.2 Electrolytic recovery or electro-winning is a technology used to remove heavy metals by passing electric current through an aqueous solution containing a cathode plate and an insoluble anode plate. Positively charged metallic ions attach to the negatively charged cathode leaving behind deposits of metal which can be recovered. A significant disadvantage observed with this technique was of corrosion which destroys the electrode and therefore needs to replace frequently (Kumar et al., 2018).

1.2.3 Electro-coagulation: It is an electrochemical approach that utilizes electrical current for the removal of heavy metals from aqueous solutions and is also capable in removing suspended solids, dissolved metals, tannins and dyes from waste water. The metal ions become destabilized and precipitate in a stable form when they are neutralized with ions of opposite electrical charges provided by electro-coagulation system (Bazrafshan et al., 2015). Removal of cadmium (Cd), copper (Cu) and nickel (Ni) from an artificial wastewater was investigated by Umran et al., 2015 using electro-coagulation (EC) method. The results revealed that electro-coagulation for 90 minutes was helpful in removing highest concentrations of Cd, Ni, Cu up to 99.78%, 99.98%, 98.90% from waste water. This study was conducted at current density of 30 mA/cm² and pH of 7 using supporting electrolyte (0.05 M Na₂SO₄) respectively (Un et al., 2015). The formation of metal hydroxides leads to dogging of the membranes which is a huge limitation of this method (Pedersen, 2003).

1.2.4 Ion-exchange: In this process, metal ions from dilute solutions are exchanged with ions held by electrostatic forces on the exchange resin. The disadvantages include: high cost and partial removal of certain ions (Fu et al., 2011). Ion exchange is the most effective process known for the removal of toxic substances at very low concentrations released from chemical industries into drinking water making it the most promising technique used (Dabrowski et al., 2004). Various ion-exchange resins are available for adsorption purpose. Dowex 50W is one such gel resin which contains sulfonate groups and it was used for removing of Cd²⁺ ions from aqueous solutions (Pehlivan et al., 2006).

1.2.5 Ultra-filtration: Use porous membranes for the removal of heavy metals driven by very high pressure gradient. The main disadvantage of this process is leads to production of sludge which again is difficult to manage (Owlad et al., 2009). Juang and Shiao (2000) studied the removal of Cu (II) and Zn (II) ions from wastewater by using chitosan-enhanced membrane filtration. Amicon-generated cellulose YM10 was used as the ultra-filter which was highly efficient in removal of Cu (II) and Zn (II) ions at a pH of 8.5 to 9. This experiment revealed that the efficiency of heavy metal removal is enhanced manifolds by using chitosan membranes.

1.2.6 Chemical Precipitation: Metals or heavy metals are coagulated by using coagulants like alum, lime, iron salts and other organic (Ahalya at al., 2013). These coagulants lead to the formation of insoluble precipitate which can be easily separated out by sedimentation or filtration. Further, the treated water is either discharged or reused (Pehlivan et al., 2006.). The ordinary chemical precipitation is done by hydroxide precipitation and sulfide precipitation. Hydroxide precipitation is done by using Ca(OH)₂ and NaOH for the removal of Cu(II) and Cr(VI) ions from wastewater. This study was conducted by Mirbagheri and Hosseini (2005). The large amount of sludge containing toxic compounds produced during the process is the main disadvantage of chemical precipitation (Kurniawan et al., 2006).

1.2.7 Phytoremediation: It is the removal of metal ions from water, soil and sediments using certain plants. Bioremediation includes the use of microorganisms, plants and their products to remove contaminants. Phyto-bioremediation is the use of plants to remove heavy metals especially from wastewater. In this technique selectively engineered pollutant accumulating plants are used for the clean-up of environmental pollution (Truu et al., 2015). Mojiri in 2011 investigated that Typhadomingensis was able to eliminate heavy metals from municipal waste water by Phytoremediation. The study shows that the usage of Typhadomingensis was efficient against the concentration of heavy metals in municipal waste water. The disadvantages of this method is that it is a time taking process and the regeneration of plant for another cycle of biosorption is difficult which leads to improper metal removal and high energy requirements, also huge amount of toxic sludge and waste products is produced which makes it difficult for disposal. (Dai et al., 2018).

1.2.8 Cementation: It is the displacement of a metal from a solution by a metal higher in the electrochemical series. Electromotive force is required to facilitate cementation capability. Since contact time is required for cementation process is long, it is suitable for small waste water flows. (Gautam et al., 2016). Examples of cementation in wastewater treatment includes the precipitating copper from printed etching solutions, and chromium plating for the reduction of Cr(VI) in and chromate-inhibited cooling water discharges (Case 1974). The removal and recovery was done by cementation of lead ion on an iron sphere packed bed (Angelidis et al., 1988, 1989). A less toxic metal replaced Lead in a harmless and reusable form.
According to study conducted by Eltaweel in 2014 cementation method offers various advantages like recovery of metals in its pure metallic form, low energy demand, and cost effective in nature. The study showed that cementation is the most affordable and simple method for the recovery of copper using scrap iron to produce metallic copper sediments suitable for metallurgical processing (Eltaweel et al.,2014)

1.2.9Activated carbons have high adsorption capacity but its cost is too high to bear making it great barrier for practical applications majorly in terms of industrial effluent treatment. (Goh et al.,2015). Adsorption has proved out to be the most promising alternative for metal ion removal from waste water. Adsorption is a process of transferring a substance from the liquid phase to the surface of a solid, and hence the substance becomes attached by chemical or physical interaction. (Kipling et al.,2017) For the effective removal of heavy metals from wastewaters low-cost adsorbents are used which can be derived either from agricultural waste, industrial by-product, natural material, or modified biopolymers (Xu et al.,2017)

Table 2. Comparative analysis of conventional methods for heavy metal removal with hydrogel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No.</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Diadvantages</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Membrane filtration</td>
<td>Small space needed for operation, can be done at low pressure, it has high separation selectivity</td>
<td>Less production of wastes occurs</td>
<td>(Ahmed et al.,2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Electro dialysis</td>
<td>Highly selective in separation.</td>
<td>High capital cost, Energy intensive</td>
<td>(Barros et al.,2014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Chemical precipitation</td>
<td>Cost effective technique, requires less capital. It is simple in terms of operation.</td>
<td>Generation of sludge and high</td>
<td>(Abbas et al.,2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>operational cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Photo catalysis</td>
<td>Metals and organic pollutant can be removed at the same time using this technique. By-products, generated by this process are less harmful in nature.</td>
<td>Long duration of time required</td>
<td>(Sun et al.,2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Adsorption with new adsorbents</td>
<td>Inexpensive, less sophisticated and can work within wide range of pH. High affinity for binding metals.</td>
<td>High byproducts and disposal of</td>
<td>(Inyang et al.,2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>waste</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.0 Low-Cost adsorbents: A Promising method for metal ion removal

Most of the above mentioned methods have various drawbacks like higher cost of operation, disposal of residual sludge generated by these techniques, non-regenerative approach etc. Cost-effective adsorbents for metal removal are needed to deal with the above mentioned drawbacks. Natural adsorbents from agricultural wastes are readily available in large quantities and also inexpensive in nature. Low-cost adsorbents which are developed from agricultural wastes, industrial by-product, natural material, or modified biopolymers, are used for the removal of heavy metals from wastewaters. Amongst all these commonly used methods, adsorption is very effective in purifying waste water due to advantages like low-cost, eco-friendly and minimum maintenance required (Carolin et al.,2017).

It is considered as the most appropriate method for the removal of organic and inorganic pollutants since it is convenient at higher concentration and cost-effective. Use of low cost adsorbent to remove heavy metals is found to be more promising as there are several varieties of agricultural wastes present in abundance (Abas et al, 2013). There are various advantages of adsorption over other old methods of heavy metal removal. Few of them are: Cost effective, metal selective in nature, easily regenerative, and do not produce toxic sludge, easy recovery of metals can be done and above all efficient too. (Carolin et al.,2017).

Agricultural materials, specially having good amount of cellulosic content have higher sorption abilities for various pollutants. (Gupta et al.,2016). It is because cellulose is insoluble in water therefore giving it great adsorptive properties. Agricultural waste generally consists of hemi-cellulose, lignin, lipids, proteins, sugars, hydrocarbons and starch. They are cost-effective, recyclable, and biodegradable because of their chemical compositions and also a great alternative for waste water treatments and removal of metal ions. Therefore, the use of agricultural wastes as low-cost adsorbents is a promising alternative to solve environmental problems in the simplest way possible. (Noor et al.,2017)
2.1 Adsorption by Agricultural Wastes

The bio-adsorbents have higher affinity for heavy metal ions as they form complexes due to the presence of functional groups like carboxyl, hydroxyl, sulphhydryl, amino, phosphate, sulphate, phenol, carbonyl and amide etc (Lata et al.,2014) and their chemical treatment increases the number of these functional groups. Nowadays various agricultural by-products are used to as adsorbents for the removal of heavy metals from waste water (Sayed et al. 2012). A lot of focus has been done on plant wastes like rice husk and neem bark (El-Said et al. 2012) (Bhattacharya et al. 2006) Black gram husk (Saeed et al. 2003), Waste tea, (Orhan et al. 1993) Turkish coffee, Walnut shell etc. (Acharya et al., 2018).

Various other adsorbents include papaya wood (Saeed et al., 2005); maize leaf (Babarinde et al.,2006); teak leaf powder (King P et al.,2006), Coriandrum sativum (Karunasagar et al.,2005), J. lai (Imperata cylindrica); leaf powder (Hanafiah et al., 2007), peanut hull pellets (Johnson et al.,2002), etc. are also studied in detail. The major advantage of using these as adsorbents for waste water treatment is that they are easily available, needs very little processing, high adsorption rate, specific adsorption for a metal ion, regenerative and easily available in nature. Consequently, plant wastes require be modifying or treating ahead of being applied for the cleansing of heavy metals. Various agricultural wastes which can be used as a promising bioadsorbent are discussed. (Carolin et al.,2017)

2.1.1 Adsorption by palm oil fuel ash: Palm Oil Fuel Ash (POFA) is a by-product obtained from palm oil mill boilers by burning of palm oil fibres; empty fruit bunches and shells. It consists of 85% fibers, 15% shells and empty fruit bunches which can be burned at a temperature of about 900–1000°C to produce energy to make crude palm oil. (Mohammed et al.,2014). During the course of this method 5% of waste ash is produced which is usually disposed in open leading to a lot of health hazards like bronchitis and other lung diseases. To prevent these health issues, various researches have examined the use of palm oil fuel ash as a remediation for waste water treatment (Bamaga et al., 2013).

2.1.2 Coconut shell as a bioadsorbent: Coconut shell is a very common agricultural waste available mainly in tropical countries all over the world. In order to effectively manage the solid waste generation from coconut shells, the waste of this material has been explored by researchers for the treatment of waste water from industries. Recently, it was investigated that coconut shells can be used to remove low concentrations of heavy metals in aqueous solutions. Heavy metals like Cu (II), Pb(II), Ni(II), Zn(II), Cr(VI), were found to be efficiently removed from waste waters. Therefore, coconut shells can be used as a low cost adsorbent for the removal of heavy metals in aqueous solutions. (Ali et al.,2016).

2.1.3 Mangos teen (Garcinia mangostana): The mangosteen tree is abundantly present in Thailand and Indonesia and is popularly known as "queen of fruits" as it is rich in taste and is used for medicinal purposes too. More than 6 kg of the fruit peel is generated for every 10 kg of the mangosteen fruit. The large quantity of fruit peel is utilized to extract pulp juice and the peel is also in lignocellulosic content. This makes it a promising material for heavy metal ion removal from waste water. It was found that this fruit peel was effective in the removal of Cu (II) ions from waste waters. (Palakawong et al.,2018).

2.1.4 Durian peel as adsorbent: Durian (Duriozibethinus Murray) is an agricultural waste prominently available in the south eastern Asian region. Being consumed in higher amounts, huge amount of peels are generated, causing difficulty in disposal. Research has been conducted to analyze the efficiency of these peels to remove heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions. It was found that durion peels are quite effective in this regard. (Thirunavukarasu et al., 2018).

2.1.5 Rice Husk as an adsorbent: Rice husks accounts for about one fifth of the annual gross production of rice and is the most abundantly found in India, and 545 million metric tons of the world. Huge quantity of rice husk is generated from rice mills which are a threat to environment, due to which efforts are being put to utilize this highly generated waste in some good direction like energy generation, used as a cementing material, and also to be used as a bio adsorbent. Rice husk was found effective in the removal of metal ions like Ni(II), Cr(VI), Zn(II), Pb(II), Cu(II) (Pode et al.,2016).

Rice husk is an agricultural waste material and its annual worldwide production is approximately 500 million metric tons, of which 10–20% is rice husk. Dry rice husk contains 70–85% of organic matter (lignin, cellulose, sugars, etc.) and the remainder consists of silica, which is present in the cellular membrane. (Boufi et al.,2017).

Recently a lot of focus has been applied on the use of unmodified or modified rice husk as an adsorbent for the removal of pollutants from waste water. (Acharya et al.,2018). Batch studies were performed using tartaric acid to modify rice husk as adsorbent for the removal of lead and copper and have reported the effects of various parameters such as pH, initial concentration of adsorbate, particle size, temperature etc.
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3.0 Bio-adsorbent: Potential Alternative for Heavy metal removal

The main feature of this paper is to study about the properties of an inexpensive adsorbent derived from agricultural wastes and its application to remove metal ions from waste water. However the efficiency of adsorption depends upon the nature of the adsorbents used for metal ion removal. Recently hydrogels have attracted the attention of the researchers due to their high adsorption ability. (Crini et al.,2018).

3.1 Hydrogel

Hydrogel are polymers with high absorption abilities that undergo swelling in the presence of water or other liquids. These can be used as environmental adsorbents as consists of a cross-linked polymeric network by using one or more monomers and has also good water retention abilities in its three-dimensional structure. The biggest advantage of hydrogel is that it does not dissolve in water. Hydrogel are able to absorb water in the presence of hydrophilic functional groups that are attached to the polymeric backbone and they do not tend to dissolve due to cross-linking between the networks. A few hydrogels have been known for their use in forward osmosis like wastewater treatment and desalination where water is drawn through a membrane for separating dissolved solutes and other contaminants. Although this process may resemble reverse osmosis but it requires comparatively less energy. (Cong et al.,2015). Hydrogel have high affinity towards water molecules, possess a three-dimensional structure, and have polymeric networks making them capable of absorbing large amount of water or other water contaminants.

Peng et al.,2012 reported a hemicellulose based Xylan-rich hydrogel which is highly porous bio-adsorbent obtained by graft polymerization of xylan-rich hemicelluloses and acrylic acid for heavy metal ion adsorption like Pd2+, Cd2+, and Zn2+) from aqueous solutions. Muya et al.,2016 in his studies showed the utilization of hydrogel in heavy metal remediation which proved out to be a better option than precipitation methods, activated carbon, and agricultural waste, and hence is more promising application prospect. He worked specifically to remove heavy metals like copper, lead, silver, cadmium, nickel, chromium, gold and mercury from aqueous solutions. Therefore, hydrogels prove to be a promising group of materials due to their great compatibility with aqueous phase.

For the removal of toxic heavy metal ions, the concept of hydrogel has gained attraction of a lot of researchers. Hydrogel have a number of advantages (Muya et al.,2016).

* Abundance of raw materials
* Ease of applicability.
* Simplicity of synthesis,
* Availability of functional groups which facilitates adsorption of metal ions.
* Poses great mechanical strength
* Higher adsorption capabilities.
* Can be regenerated
* Non-biodegradable

3.2 LowCost Adsorbents for Dye Removal from Waste Water

Color is the most notorious and a highly visible water contaminant and even the slightest of its presence makes the water body polluted and undesirable. Removal of dyes from water bodies is a major problem because conventional methods of treatment are not quite effective. Biological oxidation and chemical precipitation are mainly used methods for dye removal. A lot of research has been conducted to develop an adsorbent which is low-cost and easily available like agricultural wastes because their physical and chemical characteristics and low cost make them a potential adsorbent for the removal of dyes from waste water. Since they are available in huge quantities and mostly gets wasted or rejected they can be used to develop a bioadsorbent. Agricultural wastes are rich in lignocelluloses and mainly contain lignin, hemicelluloses and cellulose which provide high molecular weight and mass to the adsorbent. Various adsorbents are developed from agricultural wastes which are capable of removing dyes from waste water. (Adegoke et al.,2015)

Various agricultural wastes available for dyes removal are po-melo (Citrus grandis) peel, papayaseeds, Guavaleaves, broad bean peels, gulmohar (Delonix regia) plantleafpowder, rubberseedshell, pumpkin seedhull, pineapplestem, castorseedshell, coffeehusks, garlicpeel, coconuthusk, peanuthull, jute waste wheatstraw, neem(Azadir- achtaindica) leafpowder etc.
These locally found agricultural wastes are converted into activated charcoal and can be used as an adsorbent. Although a lot of published research is available on low cost agricultural adsorbents but little data is available regarding the comparative analysis of the efficiencies of these adsorbents.

Table 3: Low Cost Agricultural Adsorbents to Remove Dyes from Waste Water.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Adsorbent</th>
<th>Dye</th>
<th>Adsorption Capacity</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orange peel (Citrus sinensis L.)</td>
<td>Remazol brilliant blue</td>
<td>11.62 mg/g (20 °C), 10.70 mg/g (30 °C), 8.61 mg/g (40 °C), 6.39 mg/g (50 °C), 5.54 mg/g (60 °C).</td>
<td>Mafraet al. (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mosambi peel</td>
<td>Erichrome black T</td>
<td>90% (Initial dye concentration 50 mg/L &amp; adsorbent dose 4 g/L)</td>
<td>Ladheet al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm nut shell carbon</td>
<td>Dark green PLS</td>
<td>0.84 mg/g</td>
<td>Rajavelet al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cashew nut shell carbon</td>
<td>Dark green PLS</td>
<td>1 mg/g</td>
<td>Rajavelet al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coconut shell char</td>
<td>Rhodamine-B</td>
<td>41.67 mg/g</td>
<td>Theivarasu and Mysamy (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coir pith char</td>
<td>Coomassie brilliant</td>
<td>31.84 mg/g</td>
<td>Prasad et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palm shell activated carbon</td>
<td>Reactive red 3 BS</td>
<td>7 mg/g</td>
<td>Rusly and Ibrahim (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugarcane bagasse</td>
<td>Reactive orange</td>
<td>3.48 mg/g</td>
<td>Amin (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice hull</td>
<td>Basic blue 3 ME, Reactive orange 16</td>
<td>14.68 mg/g, 6.24 mg/g</td>
<td>Ong et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice husk carbon</td>
<td>Congo red</td>
<td>10 to 99% (Initial dye concentration 25 ppm &amp; adsorbent dose 0.08 g/L)</td>
<td>Sharma and Janveja (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saw dust</td>
<td>Ethylene blue</td>
<td>87.7 mg/g (natural saw dust), 188.7 mg/g (treated saw dust)</td>
<td>Gong et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat straw</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>17.54 mg/g</td>
<td>Abdualhamid and Asil (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papaya seeds</td>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>250.0 mg/g (esterified adsorbent), 200 mg/g (natural adsorbent)</td>
<td>Nashuhaet al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial activated carbon</td>
<td>Turquoise blue QG reactive dye</td>
<td>140.14 mg/g</td>
<td>Schimmel et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.0 Conclusion
Activated carbon is generally used to remove heavy metals from waste water through adsorption process. But it is quite expensive in nature therefore it needs to be replaced with a technique that is inexpensive and easily available in nature. As agriculture is the main occupation in India, a large amount of agricultural waste is generated so we can easily utilize this waste to produce low-cost adsorbents and also produce hydrogels from agricultural wastes. Further research is required to be done in this direction to enhance maximum removal of heavy metals at a reduced cost. Also behavior of the hydrogel needs to be tested with real industrial effluents where different types of heavy metals are present and analyzing the adsorption capacity. This review has majorly reflected the use of various bio-adsorbents derived from agricultural wastes and also focused on the promising attributes of low cost adsorbents present naturally which are more competent in terms of adsorption process. These bio-adsorbents can be effectively used for treatment of waste water contaminates with various metal ions and dyes. Bio-adsorbents prove out to be non-toxic in nature and have higher mechanical strength. It has higher regenerative abilities which further reduce the cost of waste water treatment process.
5.0 Future Scope

Development of low-cost adsorbents is the need of the hour. Biosorbents from agricultural resources are highly competent as compared to other conventional methods as conventional methods are quite complicated, demands lot of input like energy, manpower and infrastructure. Undoubtedly low-cost adsorbents offer a lot of promising benefits for commercial purpose in the future.
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