

Gendered Landscapes: The Imperative of Women's Inclusion in India's Watershed Management Strategies

Dr. Shreedhar Nimavat

Assistant Professor

Department Of Rural Studies, VNSGU, Surat, Gujarat, India

srnimavat@vnsgu.ac.in

Received: Feb. 15, 2018

Accepted: March 13, 2018

Abstract: *Effective watershed management necessitates comprehensive stakeholder involvement for sustainable resource governance. Traditional approaches in India have often overlooked or marginalized the contributions of women, despite their intrinsic connection to natural resources and household water needs. This paper investigates the critical role women play in watershed management initiatives across India, examining the socio-economic and environmental benefits derived from their active participation. It identifies persistent barriers to their inclusion and analyzes various policy interventions designed to promote gender mainstreaming. Drawing upon existing literature, this analysis demonstrates that integrating women's perspectives and knowledge significantly enhances the efficacy, equity, and sustainability of water resource management efforts. The findings underscore the necessity of gender-responsive strategies for achieving robust environmental outcomes and equitable community development.*

Keywords: *gendered landscape*

Introduction:

Watershed management in India addresses multifaceted challenges, including water scarcity, land degradation, and climate variability. Sustainable solutions require holistic strategies that encompass ecological restoration, water conservation, and community participation. Historically, women in rural India have possessed unique knowledge regarding local water sources, traditional conservation practices, and the daily management of water for domestic and agricultural purposes ((Ellie) Perkins & Walker, 2015). Despite this, their voices have frequently been excluded from formal decision-making processes concerning natural resource governance ((Ellie) Perkins & Walker, 2015).

The exclusion of women from such critical domains perpetuates gender inequalities and compromises the long-term success of environmental programs (Westberg & Powell, 2015). Recognizing women as agents of change, rather than mere beneficiaries, transforms resource management outcomes (Pederson et al., 2014). This investigation examines the significance of women's inclusion in Indian watershed management, exploring how their participation contributes to more equitable resource distribution, improved environmental health, and enhanced community resilience. It also considers the systemic obstacles that impede their full engagement and proposes avenues for more effective integration.

Methodology: Research Design and Analytical Framework

This research employs a qualitative, interpretive approach, relying on a comprehensive thematic review of existing scholarly literature, policy documents, and case studies pertaining to women's inclusion in watershed management within the Indian context. The analytical framework draws primarily from Feminist Political Ecology (FPE), which examines the interconnections between gender, environment, and power ((Ellie) Perkins & Walker, 2015). FPE provides a lens to understand how gender relations shape access to and control over natural resources, as well as the differential impacts of environmental degradation and conservation efforts on men and women ((Ellie) Perkins & Walker, 2015).

The method involves synthesizing empirical evidence and theoretical arguments from diverse sources to construct a nuanced understanding of women's experiences and contributions. Data selection prioritizes studies that offer specific insights into Indian rural communities, government programs, and non-governmental organization (NGO) initiatives related to water and land management. The analysis critically assesses the effectiveness of various strategies for gender mainstreaming, identifying both successes and enduring challenges. This systematic review allows for the identification of recurring patterns, the elucidation of causal links between women's participation and project outcomes, and the formulation of evidence-based policy recommendations.

Thematic Literature Review: Women's Participation in Watershed Management***Historical Context of Watershed Management and Gender in India***

Traditional water management systems in India often featured community-based approaches, where local knowledge systems, including those held by women, played a role in sustaining water resources. However, formal governance structures, particularly post-colonial development paradigms, have largely adopted technocratic, top-down approaches. These approaches frequently marginalized local communities and, more specifically, women, from planning and decision-making processes (Imburgia et al., 2018). The shift towards large-scale infrastructure projects and centralized management diminished the recognition of traditional gendered roles in water stewardship. Women, being primary collectors and managers of household water, continued to bear the burden of water scarcity, yet their practical expertise was rarely integrated into official policy or project design ((Ellie) Perkins & Walker, 2015). This historical exclusion created a significant disconnect between the daily realities of water use and the formal management frameworks, limiting the effectiveness and equity of interventions.

Barriers to Women's Inclusion in Watershed Initiatives

Several interlocking barriers impede women's effective inclusion in watershed management initiatives in India. Social norms and cultural attitudes often restrict women's mobility, public speaking, and participation in mixed-gender forums (2019). Patriarchal structures frequently assign decision-making authority to men, limiting women's access to information and training opportunities (Milazzo & Goldstein, 2019). Economic disempowerment, including limited land ownership and lack of financial resources, further constrains their ability to participate meaningfully (Delechat et al., 2018). Practical constraints, such as heavy domestic workloads and childcare responsibilities, also reduce the time women have available for community meetings or training sessions ((Ellie) Perkins & Walker, 2015). Furthermore, institutional barriers within governance bodies, including a lack of gender-sensitive policies, inadequate representation of women in leadership roles, and insufficient capacity building for female participants, continue to undermine efforts towards inclusive management (Imburgia et al., 2018). These systemic issues necessitate targeted interventions to dismantle existing inequalities.

Socio-Economic and Environmental Impacts of Women's Engagement

Women's active participation in watershed management initiatives yields significant socio-economic and environmental benefits. Environmentally, their deep knowledge of local ecosystems often leads to more effective, context-specific conservation practices ((Ellie) Perkins & Walker, 2015). When involved, women frequently advocate for sustainable resource use, emphasizing biodiversity preservation and judicious water allocation, given their roles in securing household water and food ((Ellie) Perkins & Walker, 2015). This involvement can result in improved water availability, enhanced soil fertility, and better vegetative cover within watersheds. Socio-economically, women's inclusion fosters empowerment, increasing their confidence and leadership capabilities (Serrano, 2015). It can lead to more equitable distribution of water resources, benefiting vulnerable households and reducing intra-household disputes over water (Imburgia et al., 2018). Furthermore, women's collective action strengthens community cohesion and decision-making processes, ensuring that interventions align with local needs and priorities (Serrano, 2015).

Policy Interventions and Institutional Frameworks for Gender Mainstreaming

Recognizing the importance of gender in development, Indian policy frameworks have increasingly incorporated gender mainstreaming principles into environmental governance. Various government programs and legislative mandates now emphasize women's participation in local self-governance bodies, including those related to natural resource management (Rai, 2017). For instance, initiatives under the National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (NREGA) and various watershed development programs include provisions for women's involvement and specific budgetary allocations for gender-focused activities. The 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments, reserving seats for women in Panchayati Raj Institutions (PRIs), have created avenues for women to engage in local governance, including decisions related to water and sanitation (Rai, 2017).

Non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and community-based organizations (CBOs) have also played a significant role in developing models for women's empowerment in watershed management (HAFNER-BURTON & POLLACK, 2002). These efforts include capacity building, leadership training, and facilitating women's self-help groups (SHGs) to manage community resources. Despite these policy commitments and institutional efforts, challenges persist in translating policy into consistent, impactful practice on the ground (HAFNER-BURTON & POLLACK, 2002). The implementation often encounters resistance from entrenched patriarchal norms and a lack of adequate resources for gender-sensitive training and monitoring.

Overcoming these hurdles requires sustained political will and collaborative efforts among government agencies, civil society, and local communities.

Analysis and Discussion: Implications of Women's Inclusion in Indian Watershed Management Transformative Outcomes in Resource Governance

The inclusion of women in watershed management yields substantial transformative outcomes, moving beyond mere participation to fundamentally reshape resource governance. When women are empowered to engage, their priorities, which often center on household water security, sanitation, and subsistence agriculture, receive greater attention ((Ellie) Perkins & Walker, 2015). This shift results in the implementation of projects that directly address the daily needs of communities, such as constructing household-level rainwater harvesting structures or developing local water sources. Evidence suggests that women's involvement improves the maintenance and equitable distribution of water infrastructure, leading to increased sustainability of water resources (Imburgia et al., 2018). Their collective action strengthens accountability mechanisms within local governance structures, ensuring more transparent and efficient allocation of resources. The integration of women's traditional ecological knowledge also enhances adaptive capacity in the face of climate change, fostering more resilient watershed ecosystems ((Ellie) Perkins & Walker, 2015) (Aoyagi et al., 2011).

Challenges in Implementing Gender-Inclusive Strategies

Despite policy advancements, implementing truly gender-inclusive strategies in Indian watershed management faces significant hurdles. Social and cultural barriers remain pervasive, limiting women's public visibility and active voice in community forums (2019). Many women still contend with illiteracy, lack of awareness about their rights, and limited access to training and technical knowledge, which hinders their capacity for effective participation (Sahu & Yadav, 2018). Institutional inertia and patriarchal attitudes within local administrative bodies can also undermine efforts to integrate women into decision-making roles (Imburgia et al., 2018). Financial constraints, including a lack of dedicated funding for gender-specific interventions and women's economic disempowerment, impede the scale-up of successful initiatives (Delechat et al., 2018). Addressing these challenges requires not only legislative changes but also sustained behavioral shifts and targeted capacity-building programs to empower women and sensitize communities.

Best Practices and Innovative Models from Indian Case Studies

Several Indian case studies demonstrate effective approaches for fostering women's inclusion in watershed management. One notable best practice involves forming women-centric self-help groups (SHGs) or water user associations, which provide a supportive environment for women to organize, share knowledge, and collectively address water issues (Serrano, 2015). These groups often engage in micro-planning, resource mobilization, and implementation of local conservation measures, leading to tangible improvements in water availability and livelihoods. Another successful model integrates gender-disaggregated data collection and analysis into project monitoring, allowing for tailored interventions that address specific gender needs (Safdar et al., 2011) (Weinberger et al., 2010). Providing gender-sensitive training, which accounts for women's literacy levels and daily schedules, also enhances their technical capacity and confidence. Furthermore, linking watershed initiatives with broader women's empowerment programs, such as financial inclusion and livelihood diversification, creates synergistic benefits, reinforcing women's economic agency and their ability to participate effectively in resource governance (Hendriks, 2019).

Policy Recommendations for Enhanced Gender Integration

To further enhance women's integration in Indian watershed management, several policy recommendations warrant consideration:

1. **Strengthen Legal and Institutional Frameworks:** Enforce existing policies that mandate women's representation in water governance bodies and develop explicit guidelines for gender-responsive budgeting in all watershed development projects.
2. **Capacity Building and Education:** Design and deliver tailored training programs that address women's specific needs, including literacy, technical skills in water conservation, and leadership development (Sahu & Yadav, 2018). Promote educational access for girls and women in rural areas to improve long-term participation (Sahu & Yadav, 2018) (Tinklin et al., 2003).
3. **Promote Economic Empowerment:** Facilitate women's access to financial services, credit, and alternative livelihood opportunities, reducing their dependency and increasing their ability to invest in sustainable practices (2019).

4. **Foster Social Norm Change:** Implement awareness campaigns to challenge patriarchal attitudes and promote gender equality within communities and local governance structures (Milazzo & Goldstein, 2019).
5. **Data and Monitoring:** Systematically collect and analyze gender-disaggregated data on participation rates, decision-making roles, and project impacts to inform adaptive management and policy adjustments (Safdar et al., 2011).
6. **Support Women's Collectives:** Continue to support and scale up women's self-help groups and water user associations, empowering them as key drivers of local watershed management (Serrano, 2015).

Conclusion: Synthesis of Findings and Future Directions

The comprehensive review establishes the undeniable importance of women's inclusion in watershed management for achieving sustainable and equitable water resource outcomes in India. Women's unique knowledge, their direct reliance on water for household and livelihood needs, and their capacity for collective action offer invaluable contributions to effective resource governance ((Ellie) Perkins & Walker, 2015). While policy frameworks have begun to recognize this imperative, persistent socio-cultural, economic, and institutional barriers continue to impede their full and meaningful participation. Overcoming these challenges requires a concerted effort to dismantle traditional power structures, invest in targeted capacity building, and promote economic empowerment for women.

Future research could delve into the long-term impacts of specific gender-transformative interventions, assess the effectiveness of different policy implementation models across diverse agro-climatic zones in India, and explore the intersectionality of gender with caste, class, and ethnicity in shaping women's experiences in watershed management. Continued attention to women's leadership and agency within these critical environmental domains will yield more resilient ecosystems and more equitable societies.

References

1. ((Ellie) Perkins, P. E., & Walker, P. F. (2015). International partnerships of women for sustainable watershed governance in times of climate change. In *A Political Ecology of Women, Water, and Global Environmental Change* (1st ed., pp. 118–140). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315796208-8>
2. Westberg, L., & Powell, S. (2015). Participate for Women's Sake? A Gender Analysis of a Swedish Collaborative Environmental Management Project. In *Society & Natural Resources* (Vol. 28, Issue 11, pp. 1233–1248). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2015.1014594>
3. Pederson, A., Greaves, L., & Poole, N. (2014). Gender-transformative health promotion for women: a framework for action. In *Health Promotion International* (Vol. 30, Issue 1, pp. 140–150). Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dau083>
4. Women Participation in Politics and National Development (2019). In *Information and Knowledge Management*. International Institute for Science, Technology and Education. <https://doi.org/10.7176/ikm/9-7-03>
5. Milazzo, A., & Goldstein, M. (2019). Governance and Women's Economic and Political Participation: Power Inequalities, Formal Constraints and Norms. In *The World Bank Research Observer* (Vol. 34, Issue 1, pp. 34–64). Oxford University Press (OUP). <https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/lky006>
6. Delechat, C., Newiak, M., Xu, R., Yang, F., & Aslan, G. (2018). What is Driving Women's Financial Inclusion Across Countries? In *IMF Working Papers* (Vol. 18, Issue 38, p. 1). International Monetary Fund (IMF). <https://doi.org/10.5089/9781484344460.001>
7. Serrano, M. A. (2015). The participation of all women in the school. In *Intangible Capital* (Vol. 11, Issue 3). Omnia Publisher SL. <https://doi.org/10.3926/ic.655>
8. Rai, P. (2017). Women's Participation in Electoral Politics in India. In *South Asia Research* (Vol. 37, Issue 1, pp. 58–77). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0262728016675529>
9. HAFNER-BURTON, E., & POLLACK, M. A. (2002). Mainstreaming Gender in Global Governance. In *European Journal of International Relations* (Vol. 8, Issue 3, pp. 339–373). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066102008003002>
10. Aoyagi, M., Suda, E., & Shinada, T. (2011). Gender Inclusion in Climate Change Adaptation. In *SSRN Electronic Journal*. Elsevier BV. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1926494>
11. Sahu, Dr. T. K., & Yadav, K. (2018). Women's education and political participation. In *International Journal of Advanced Education and Research* (Vol. 3, Issue 6, pp. 65–71). AkiNik Publications. <https://doi.org/10.22271/educatin.2018.v3.i6.15>
12. Safdar, B., McGregor, A. J., McKee, S. A., Ali, A., Radulescu, R., Himelfarb, N. T., Klein, M. R., & Mazure, C. M. (2011). Inclusion of Gender in Emergency Medicine Research. In *Academic Emergency Medicine* (p. no-no). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.2010.00978.x>
13. Weinberger, A. H., McKee, S. A., & Mazure, C. M. (2010). Inclusion of Women and Gender-Specific Analyses in Randomized Clinical Trials of Treatments for Depression. In *Journal of Women's Health* (Vol. 19, Issue 9, pp. 1727–1732). Mary Ann Liebert Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2009.1784>

14. Hendriks, S. (2019). The role of financial inclusion in driving women's economic empowerment. In *Development in Practice* (Vol. 29, Issue 8, pp. 1029–1038). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09614524.2019.1660308>
15. Tinklin, T., Croxford, L., Ducklin, A., & Frame, B. (2003). Inclusion: A Gender Perspective. In *Policy Futures in Education* (Vol. 1, Issue 4, pp. 640–652). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.2304/pfie.2003.1.4.3>
16. (2018). Political Participation of Disadvantaged Women in Decentralized Governance: A Shift from Social Exclusion to Political Inclusion (A Case Study of Dalit Women of 42 Mouza in Cuttack Sadar Block of Odisha, India). In *AEBMS-2017, ICET-2017, BBMS-17, UPACEE-17, LHES-17, TBFS-2017, IC4E-2017, AMLIS-2017 & BEFM-2017*. Higher Education and Innovation Group (HEAIG). <https://doi.org/10.15242/heaigh.1217920>
17. Hawkins, J., Kieffer, E. C., Sinco, B., Spencer, M., Anderson, M., & Rosland, A.-M. (2013). Does Gender Influence Participation? In *The Diabetes Educator* (Vol. 39, Issue 5, pp. 647–654). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0145721713492569>
18. Siregar, H. H., Nurfadhila, U., & Wargadinata, W. (2020). Women's participation in the terrorist network in the view of gender. In *Yinyang: Jurnal Studi Islam Gender dan Anak* (pp. 149–162). UIN Prof. K.H. Saifuddin Zuhri. <https://doi.org/10.24090/yinyang.v15i2.3885>
19. Faulkner, W., & Lie, M. (2007). Gender in the Information Society: Strategies of Inclusion. In *Gender, Technology and Development* (Vol. 11, Issue 2, pp. 157–177). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1177/097185240701100202>
20. R, R., & Brown, R. R. (2000). THE VALUE OF PARTICIPATION IN URBAN WATERSHED MANAGEMENT. In *Proceedings of the Water Environment Federation* (Vol. 2000, Issue 6, pp. 1577–1594). Water Environment Federation. <https://doi.org/10.2175/193864700785149774>
21. Marszałek-Kawa, J. (2011). The participation of women in politics. Deliberations on the gender parity bill. In *Polish Political Science Yearbook* (Vol. 40, Issue 1, pp. 82–109). Wydawnictwo Adam Marszałek. <https://doi.org/10.15804/ppsy2011006>
22. (2003). Female Labour Force Participation. In *OECD Economics Department Working Papers*. Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD). <https://doi.org/10.1787/082872464507>
23. Hassan Shehzad, A. S. (2014). Media Analysis of Women's Participation in Politics. In *Journal of Mass Communication & Journalism* (Vol. 04, Issue 03). OMICS Publishing Group. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2165-7912.1000183>
24. Rai, S. (2004). Gendering Global Governance. In *International Feminist Journal of Politics* (Vol. 6, Issue 4, pp. 579–601). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1461674042000283345>
25. Sotiariadou, P., & de Haan, D. (2019). Women and leadership: advancing gender equity policies in sport leadership through sport governance. In *International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics* (Vol. 11, Issue 3, pp. 365–383). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19406940.2019.1577902>
26. Syed, N. T., & Tabassum, H. (2014). Women's Political Participation In Pakistan. In *Pakistan Journal of Gender Studies* (Vol. 8, Issue 1, pp. 131–143). University of Karachi. <https://doi.org/10.46568/pjgs.v8i1.339>
27. (2017). Financial Inclusion and Women Entrepreneurship. In *OECD Economics Department Working Papers*. Organisation for Economic Co-Operation and Development (OECD). <https://doi.org/10.1787/2fbd0f35-en>
28. Leraas, B. C., Kippen, N. R., & Larson, S. J. (2018). Gender and Student Participation. In *Journal of the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning* (Vol. 18, Issue 4). IUScholarWorks. <https://doi.org/10.14434/josotl.v18i4.22849>
29. Haque, M. S. (2003). Citizen Participation in Governance Through Representation: Issue of Gender in East Asia. In *International Journal of Public Administration* (Vol. 26, Issue 5, pp. 569–590). Informa UK Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1081/pad-120019236>
30. Cardoso, A. R., & Winter-Ebmer, R. (2010). Female-Led Firms and Gender Wage Policies. In *ILR Review* (Vol. 64, Issue 1, pp. 143–163). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001979391006400107>
31. Mun Ghosh, Dr. M., & Ghosh, Dr. A. (2014). Analysis of Women Participation in Indian Agriculture. In *IOSR Journal of Humanities and Social Science* (Vol. 19, Issue 5, pp. 01–06). IOSR Journals. <https://doi.org/10.9790/0837-19540106>
32. Du Rose, N. (2015). *The governance of female drug users* (1st ed.). Policy Press. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1t89h83>
33. Evers, B. (1993). *Women and Economic Policy*. PRACTICAL ACTION PUBLISHING. <https://doi.org/10.3362/9780855988722>
34. Booth, R., & Leeds, M. A. (2013). Participation in women's sport in Australia. In *Handbook on the Economics of Women in Sports*. Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781849809399.00009>
35. Regt, M. de. (2004). Legal and Practical Aspects of Participation by Women in Arab Societies. In *American Journal of Islam and Society* (Vol. 21, Issue 3, pp. 183–185). International Institute of Islamic Thought. <https://doi.org/10.35632/ajis.v21i3.1789>
36. Adamson, M., Kelan, E., Lewis, P., Rumens, N., & Śliwa, M. (2017). Exploring Gendered Inclusion in Contemporary Organizations – ESRC. In *Impact* (Vol. 2017, Issue 9, pp. 56–57). Science Impact, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.21820/23987073.2017.9.56>

37. Gelb, K., Pederson, A., & Greaves, L. (2011). How have health promotion frameworks considered gender? In *Health Promotion International* (Vol. 27, Issue 4, pp. 445–452). Oxford University Press (OUP).
<https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dar087>
38. Swers, M. L. (2013). *Women in the Club*. University of Chicago Press.
<https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226022963.001.0001>
39. Druschke, C. G., & Secchi, S. (2014). The impact of gender on agricultural conservation knowledge and attitudes in an Iowa watershed. In *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* (Vol. 69, Issue 2, pp. 95–106). Informa UK Limited.
<https://doi.org/10.2489/jswc.69.2.95>